Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text, figures, and tables. |
| 4H.01 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising 4H.01 as follows:   * Replace "counter-flow" with the more familiar term "contra-flow" * Delete the first Guidance statement, as the meaning isn't clear and could be misinterpreted * Insert the adjective "legacy" to refer to bicycle signal faces with circular indications, which would no longer be in compliance * Revise the Standard in paragraph 5 to explicitly refer to the Option in paragraph 6 as an exception to the Standard * Add text to the Option statement in paragraph 6 to include an engineering study as an additional condition to justify a conflict between a bicyclist and a vehicular turning movement * Add a Support statement to identify factors to be considered in an engineering study |
| 4H.02 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising the first Standard to apply the prohibition to only conflicting bicycle movements, as there could be unique alignments or geometric conditions where bicycle movements would not be in conflict. |
| 4H.03 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends deleting the Standard statement and adding a new Support statement referring to warrants for bicycle signal faces. |
| 4H.04 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising 4H.04 as follows:   * Add a reference to a new R10-41c bicycle signal sign (see NCUTCD docket comment on Chapter 9B) * Revise the Standard statement on the use of Bicycle Signal signs to Option and add sign references * Retain the R10-10b sign from Interim Approval 16, but renumber it as R10-42 since R10-10b is used for a different sign in the NPA * Delete text on Bicycle Signal sign sizes, as this is addressed in Chapter 9B (see NCUTCD comments on Chapter 9B) |
| 4H.05 | NO | YES | N/A | * NCUTCD recommends revising 4H.05 as follows: * Add text to Standard items A & C to indicate that bicycle signal indications apply specifically to bicycles in a bicycle lane or from a separate facility, such as a shared use path * Add a reference to Section 4A.05 in Standard item C and delete incorrect sign codes * Add an Option statement to address the use of a flashing YELLOW BICYCLE signal indication |
| 4H.06 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends deleting the Option statement in 4H.06, since the flashing YELLOW BICYCLE indication referenced in that Option is used under steady (stop-and-go) rather than flashing operation and is addressed in Section 4H.05. |
| 4H.07 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends adding Option text to 4H.07 to allow a left-turn or right-turn GREEN ARROW in a bicycle signal face to indicate a protected left-turn or right-turn bicycle movement and adding a Standard statement regarding specific conditions for use of a left-turn or right-turn GREEN ARROW to control a protected bicycle movement. |
| Figure 4H-1 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends adding examples of 4-section bicycle signal faces in both vertical and horizontal orientations including left-turn or right-turn GREEN ARROW indications to indicate a protected turning movement for bicyclists, and adding an example of a 3-section horizontally oriented bicycle signal face. |
| 4H.08 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 4H.08 as presented in the NPA. |
| 4H.09 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 4H.09 as presented in the NPA. |
| 4H.10 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 4H.10 as presented in the NPA with minor editorial changes adding text “or shoulder” and correcting sign numbers to reflect current codes. |
| 4H.11 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 4H.11 as presented in the NPA. |
| 4H.12 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 4H.12 as presented in the NPA. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |